

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/632,988	08/04/2003	Seong Ho Kang	YHK-0115	2974
34610 7:	590 06/29/2006		EXAMINER	
FLESHNER & KIM, LLP			BODDIE, WILLIAM	
P.O. BOX 2212			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHANTILLY, VA 20153			ARTONII	FAFER NOWIDER
			2629	
			DATE MAILED: 06/29/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

		William Boddle	2029	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	lress
THE REP	LY FILED <u>08 June 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
this plac a R	reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the followes the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No equest for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, af tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) 🛚	The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) 🗌	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire lateral Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (a)	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final reject	ion.
	TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).		
have been under 37 (set forth in may reduc	s of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprion in ally set in the final Off	riate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
filin a N	Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp g the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte otice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th	hs of the date of ne appeal. Since
AMENDA				
(a)	e proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further co They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NC		ecause
(c)	They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for
. (d)	They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	jected claims.	
	NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. 🔲 Th	e amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
	plicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			
nor	ewly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a a-allowable claim(s).			
hov The	purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) with the new or amended claims would be rejected is prosestatus of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: im(s) allowed:	will not be entered, or b) wided below or appended.	ill be entered and an	explanation of
	im(s) objected to:			
	im(s) rejected:			
	im(s) withdrawn from consideration: /IT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. 🔲 The	e affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but the applicant failed to provide a showing of good and some earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ut before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affida	lotice of Appeal will <u>n</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered is necessary and
ent sho	e affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing ered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to owing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a (1).
	ne affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation is FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.
	ne request for reconsideration has been considered bu ee Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	ince because:
	ote the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)	
13. 🔲 O	ther:			
			AN PRIMA	MR A. AWAD ARY EXAMINER
			, 1	1. Ihran

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05) Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments are not persuasive. As stated in the previous office action, the combination of Awamoto et al. (US 6,720,940) and the Applicant's admitted prior art (APA) is seen as proper and sufficient in teaching all the limitations of at least independent claims 1 and 7 and additionally claim 11 when combined with Nagai (US 6,011,355). To further explain the combination of Awamoto and APA, the only claimed limitation lacking from Awamoto is specifically altering the setup interval based on the temperature of a display panel. Awamoto, otherwise, teaches applying different waveforms for different temperatures. Clearly the waveforms in B in fig. 6 are different from those in C. The APA discloses two different waveforms with different setup intervals. The APA also teaches that at certain temperatures these setup intervals cause misfires. It seems obvious that one of ordinary skill in the art, that when presented with these facts, would have been driven to apply the different setup intervals, of APA, at optimum temperatures for each waveform, as taught by Awamoto.